Communications Workers of America AFLCIO. CLC

501 Third Street, N.W. Washington. D.C. 20001-2797 2021434-1 100 Fax 202/434-1279 Carried

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

MAR 1 2 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

March 12.2003

Ms. Marlene Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street. S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Ms. Dortch:

RE: Ex Parte Notice. MB Docket No. 02-277, **MM** Docket Nos. 01-235, 01-317, 00-244. In the Matter of 2002 Biennial Regulatory Review – Review of the Commission's Broadcast Ownership Rules and Other Rules Adopted Pursuant to Section 202 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Cross-Ownership of Broadcast Stations and Newspapers; Rules and Policies Concerning Multiple Ownership of Radio Broadcast Stations in Local Markets; Definition of Radio Markets.

On March 11, 2003. Linda Folcy, Vice President, The Newspaper Guild/CWA, Wayne Cahill and Rob Perez of the Honolulu Newspaper Guild/CWA, and Debbie Goldman of the Communications Workers of America had two meetings with FCC staff. In the first meeting, they met with Alexis Johns and Jordan Goldstein, Legal Advisors to Commissioner Michael C'opps. In the second meeting, they met with Sarah Whitesell, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Jonathan Adelstein. Jeff Leib of the Denver Newspaper Guild/CWA also participated in the first meeting (via telephone).

The discussion focused on CWA's proposal that any modification of current broadcast media ownership limits that would permit common ownership of a newspaper and a TV station or common ownership of TV stations (duopolies) in unconcentrated markets should include the requirement that the commonly-owned outlets maintain separate news and editorial staff to protect viewpoint diversity. We discussed the Newspaper Preservation Act of 1970 statutory mandate requiring newspapers operating under a joint operating agreement (JOA) under terms of the Newspaper Preservation Act maintain separate editorial and news staff. We described how this requirement has preserved viewpoint diversity and journalistic competition in two JOA locations: Denver and, until the recent termination of the JOA, Honolulu.

A list of 12 existing newspaper Joint Operating Agreements, an overview of Joint Operating Agreements. and a copy of the 1970 Newspaper Preservation Act were distributed and are attached to this notice.

No of Copies rec'd O+

Ms. Marlene Dortch March 12, 2003 Page 2

We also distributed the attached FL-CTOre Jution "Media M nopolies: hreat to American Democracy," adopted Feb. 26, 2003. We also provided Ms. Johns and Mr. Goldstein a copy of the CWA ex parte presentation previously entered into the record in this proceeding on March 5, 2003.

Sincerely.

Debbie Goldman, Research Economist Research and Development Department

cc: Sarah Whitesell Alexis Johns Jordan Goldstein

Attachments

wspaper Joint lerating Agreements

he Newspaper Preservation Act of 1970 was created eserve a diversity of editorial opinion in communities e the market no longer supported two competing newspapers. Editorial operations under a Joint ating Agreement remain separate, all other operations combined. Listed here are the 12 JOAs with the year expire

2022	The Journal-Gazette, Fort Wayne, Ind The News-Sentinel, Fort Wayne, and	2020
2015	Las Vegas Review-Journal The Las Vegas Sun	2049
2036	The Salt Lake City Deseret News Salt Lake Tribune	2012
		2033
2051	The Arizona Daily Star, Tucson Tucson Citizen	2015
		2000
	2015 2036 2007 2051	The News-Sentinel, Fort Wayne, Ind Las Vegas Review- Journal The Las Vegas Stin 2036 The Salt Lake City Deseret News Salt Lake Tribune 2007 Seattle Post-Intelligencer The Seattle Times 2051 The Arizona Daily Star, Tucson Tucson Citizen

FACTS ABOUT NEWSPAPERS 2001

91st Congress

HOUSE OF REPRESEN

Failing Newspaper

NEWSPAPER PRESERVATION ACT

JUNE 15, 1970.—Referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed

Mr. Kastenmeier, from the Committee on the Judiciary, submitted the following

REPORT

Together with

INDIVIDUAL VIEWS

[To accompany H.R. 279]

The Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill (H.R. 279) to exempt from the antitrust laws certain joint newspaper operating arrangements having considered the same, report favorably thereon with amendment and recommend that the bill do pass.

The amendment is as follows:

Strike all after enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the following:

"Section 1. This Act may be cited as the 'Newspaper Preservation Act'.

"DECLARATION OF POLICY

"Sec. 2. In the public interest of maintaining a newspaper press editorially and reportorially independent and competitive in all parts of the United States, it is hereby declared to be the public policy of the United States to preserve the publication of newspapers in any city, community, or metropolitan area where a joint operating arrangement has been heretofore entered into because of economic distress or is hereafter effected in accordance with the provisions of this Act.

"DEFINITIONS

"Sec. 3. As used in this Act-

"(1) The term 'antitrust law' means the Federal Trade Commission Act and each statute defined by section 4 thereof (15 U.S.C. 44) as 'Antitrust Acts' and all amondments to such Act and such statutes and any other Acts in pari materia

all amendments to such Act and such statutes and any other Acts in pari materia.

"(2) The term 'joint newspaper operating arrangement' means any contract, agreement, joint venture (whether or not incorporated), or other arrangement entered into by two or more newspaper owners for the publication of two or more newspaper publications, pursuant to which joint or common production facilities are established or operated and joint or unified action is taken or agreed to be taken with respect to any one or more of the following: printing; time, method,

37-006

and field of publication; allocation of production facilities; distribution; advertising solicitation; circulation solicitation; business department; establishment of advertising rates; establishment of circulation rates and revenue distributions.

Provided, That there is no merger, combination, or amalgamation of editorial or

reportorial staffs, and that editorial policies be independently determined.

(3) The term newspaper owner means any person who owns or controls directly or indirectly through separate or subsidary corporations, one or more

newspaper publications.

(4) The term 'newspaper publication' means a publication produced on newsprint paper which is published in one or more issues weekly (including as one problication any daily newspaper and any Sunday newspaper published by the same owner in the same city, community, or metropolitan area), and in which a substantial portion of the content is devoted to the dissemination of news and editorial opinion.

(5) The term 'failing newspaper' means a newspaper publication which,

regardless of its ownership or affilations, is in probable danger of financial failure.

(6) The term 'person' means any individual, and any partnership, corporation, association, or other legal entity existing under or authorized by the law of the United States, any State or possession of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or any foreign country.

"ANTITRUST EXEMPTION

EDEC. 4. (a) It shall not be unlawful under any antitrust law for any person to perform, enforce, renew, or amend any joint newspaper operating arrangement entered into prior to the effective date of this Act, if at the time at which such arrangement was first entered into, regardless of ownership or affiliations, not more than one of the newspaper publications involved in the performance of such arrangement was likely to remain or become a financially sound publication: Provided, That the terms of a renewal or amendment to a joint operating arrange-

ment must be filed with the Department of Justice.

(b) It shall be unlawful lor any person to enter into, perform, or enforce a joint operating arrangement, not already in effect, except with the prior written consent of the Attorney General of the United States. Prior to granting such approval, the Attorney General shall determine that not more than one of the new spaper publications involved in the arrangement is a publication other than a failing newspaper, and that approval of such arrangement would effectuate the

policy and purpose of this Act.

(c) Nothing contained in this Act shall be construed to exempt from any antitrust law any predatory pricing, any predatory practice, or any other conduct in the otherwise lawful operations of a joint newspaper Operating arrangement which would be unlawful under any antitrust law if engaged in by a single entity. Except as provided in this Act, no joint newspaper operating arrangement or any party thereto shall be exempt from any antitrust law.

"PREVIOUS TRANSACTIONS

"SEC. 5. (a) Notwithstanding any final judgment rendered in any action brought by the United States under which a joint operating arrangement has been held to be unlawful under any antitrust law, any party to such final judgment may reinstate said joint newspaper operating arrangement to the extent permissible under section 4(a) hereof.

(b) The provisions of section 4 shall apply to the determination of any civil or criminal action pending in any district court of the United States on the date of enactment of this Act in which it is alleged that any such joint operating

agreement is unlawful under any antitrust law.

33 5 3

"SEPARABILITY PROVISION

"Sec. 6. If any provision of this Act is declared unconstitutional, or the applicability thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the validity of the remainder of this Act, and thr applicability of such provision loany other person or circumstance, shall not be affected thereb?."

Media Monopolies: A Threat to American Democracy

AFL-CIO EXECUTIVE COUNCIL STATEMENT

February 26, 2003

"It is the purpose of the first Amendment to preserve an uninhibited marketplace of ideas in which truth will ultimately prevail, rather than to countenance monopolization of that market, whether it be by the Government itself or a private licensee, It is the right of the public to receive suitable access to social, political, esthetic, moral, and other ideas and experiences which is crucial here. That right may not constitutionally be abridged either by Congress or by the FCC."

The U.S. Supreme Court in the landmark **1969** case of RedLion *v. FCC* made this unambiguous assertion, which further defined the broader range of constitutional protections that are inherent in the First Amendment. In effect, the nation's highest court ruled that the public's right to receive information is an essential part of the First Amendment's free speech guarantee. Safeguarding the public's right to 'an uninhibited marketplace of ideas" requires diversity among those who own and control media outlets, to ensure that Americans remain free to choose among many sources of information, viewpoints, and ideas.

Yet the public's right to receive information from diverse sources is now in serious jeopardy. In one of the most critical domestic policy issues to be addressed this year, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is engaged in rule making on media ownership regulations. The outcome of these proceedings will affect literally every household in America and also have a profound impact upon the news, entertainment, information, communications and advertising sectors. Desplte wave after wave of media mega-mergers over the last decade, the FCC is about to decide the fate of all its existing media ownership regulations. These public interest standards—some in effect for more than 60 years—prevent monopoly control of news, information and entertainment in media markets throughout our nation. The consolidated rule making, described by FCC Chairman Michael Powell as the most sweeping regulatory action in FCC history, has the potential to reshape radically the nation's media landscape, with likely adverse consequences in media markets both big and small throughout the country.

The AFL-CIO and its affiliated unions in news and entertainment—which collectively represent nearly one-half million professional, technical and blue-collar workers—believe that today's already highly concentrated media marketplace makes robust competition and ownership diversity all the more essential to the economic health and viability of the media and entertainment sectors. In the news and information business, competition and diversity help preserve localism in news coverage, enhance the quality and comprehensiveness of news content, assure a multiplicity of voices from a variety of independent sources and reduce the risk that news will be censored or slanted by a few controlling interests. Maintaining competition and diversity is central to protecting the public's right to information and, importantly, to expanding the public's informed participation in our democracy. In the entertainment sector, competition and diversity stimulate the kinds of creativity and variety in programming that the American public has come to expect but that has significantly diminished since the FCC repealed the Financial Interest and Syndication Rule in 1993.

Media giants, the networks and others who want even more deregulation claim that the proliferation of newer media outlets—cable, satellite and the Internet—create sufficient competition, rendering FCC

media ownership regulations obsolete. Yet evidence in the FCC's rule making presented by the entertainment guilds, AFL-CIO unions in broadcasting and journalism, consumer and public-interest organizations, business groups including independent producers and advertisers, as well as some of the FCC's own studies clearly shows that a large swath of these 'new" outlets are owned by the same conglomerates that control traditional media. As such, they are not new and diverse voices in the marketplace. In fact, programming on the four major networks has become more, not less, homogenous over the past ten years. Moreover, there has been a precipitous decline in the growth of media outlets in radio and newspapers in particular, with significant consequences for these two traditional sources of news for many Americans.

In radio, the deregulation wrought by Congress through the 1996 Communications Act precipitated the monopolistic expansion of Clear Channel into this communications sector. Described by many as the poster child for what's wrong with media deregulation, Clear Channel has been the target of anti-trust lawsuits, FCC fines for payola violations, Senate oversight hearings and labor-backed legislation introduced by Senator Russell Feingold (D-WI) to outlaw some elements of this radio giant's repertoire of abusive practices affecting the music industry and performing artists.

In local newspapers and television, as the number of diverse and antagonistic news sources has contracted, so has broad-based coverage of vital state and local issues. Corporate dominance of local markets has translated into less public-interest reporting on consumer, environmental, minority and labor affairs, as media owners play to their bottom line—reduced costs—and their business advertisers. As a result, the identity, values and informational needs of local communities are at risk. We are especially concerned about the decline in coverage of labor issues and the sometimes arbitrary refusal by media outlets to air ads paid for by labor organizations, for no reason other than the broadcasters' concerns that they might offend their corporate clients.

For workers in news and entertainment, further media consolidation will exacerbate the assault on their jobs and their professions. Since June 2000, an estimated 70,000 media workers have been laid off. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, employment in radio alone—where the pace of station acquisition has been frenetic—has fallen by 7,000 in two years, eliminating 20 years of growth and leaving radio with fewer employees than it had in 1982. According to one industry source "radio stations have been particularly hammered not just by the recession but by concentration of ownership." In broadcast, employment over the same period dropped by 3 percent, ending a 10-year growth cycle.

In addition to the effect on jobs, The Project for Excellence in Journalism and others have documented that growing consolidation in the news business has led to a serious decline in the quality of local news as distant corporate media executives demand cuts in news budgets to boost profits. With this decline, media employees and freelancers alike see their bargaining power to fight for better economic conditions and professional standards stifled in the face of ever more powerful media giants.

FCC media ownership rules assure some measure of marketplace accountability through Competition. Without them, the "uninhibited marketplace of ideas" would be diminished. Should the FCC decide to eliminate or significantly weaken its media ownership standards, we foresee a feeding frenzy of corporate acquisitions that will lead to more monopolistic cross-ownership of radio, TV, newspapers, the Internet and other media pipelines. Citizen access to diverse sources of information and entertainment will be vastly reduced and the quality of news and entertainment will be further compromised.

In our democratic society, media ownership matters. It matters because ultimately it is the deciding factor that determines what America's working families are able to consume in news, entertainment and information. Most importantly, it matters to our democracy because an informed public is the bedrock of our free and open society.

Accordingly, the AFL-CIO urges the FCC to:

- Retain the Newspaper-Broadcast Cross-Ownership Rule because of its indispensable role in promoting diversity and competition in local news and information;
- Maintain the remaining Local Television and Radio Ownership Rules to ensure the continued existence of independent local television news operations, a public resource so critical to public discourse in our democratic society:
- Safeguard the remaining Local Radio Ownership Limits in order to avoid further deterioration in the radio industry arising from deregulation--diminution in the diversity of music available in local markets, damage to the quality of radio programming nationwide and creation of a market burdened by anti-competitive practices;
- Institute rules to insure that a reasonable level of prime-time programming is created by truly independent producers so there is real source diversity that will increase the choices available to the viewing public;
- Uphold the dual network rule to protect against the erosion of local news and revitalize and encourage innovation in entertainment programming.
- Retain the national audience caps.

The nation's airwaves—the broadcast spectrum—are the people's property. Through FCC licensing, the American people loan this valuable commodity for a certain time to a variety of proprietors in both the private and public sectors. But citizens retain the right to expect that this community asset will be used in the public interest. The FCC's regulatory regimens that protect and advance diversity of ownership, encourage competition and creativity and prevent the growth of media monopolies are time-tested means to protect this invaluable community asset; they are public-interest standards worth fighting for. And the American labor movement intends to remain engaged in this battle as long as it takes to safeguard these protections.

CWA Annotation of Economic Study F: Counting Outlets and Owners in Milwaukee: An Illustrative Example

Study by Bruce M. Owen, Kent W. Mikkelsen Submitted by **Fox** Entertainment Group, NBC, Viacom **As** attachment to their comments In MB Docket No. 02-277, MM Dockets No. 01-235, 01-317, 00-244 (Comments dated Jan. 2,2003)

Table F9. Local Outlets Available to the Average Household in the Milwaukee DMA

Daily Newspapers	1.2
Weekly Newspapers	3.2
Broadcast Television	14.0
Radio	35.2
Cable Television	2.0
Magazines	15.0
Internet	100.0
Total	170.6

Milwanke DNA: 836,000 Househoulds Milwanke Journal Sentirel circulation Weekday 250,000 Sunday 434,000

Table F1. Daily Newspapers and Availability Areas in the Milwaukee DMA

	Circulation	u e		
		Newspaper/Company Name Daily Citizen	Owner Madison Newspapers Inc.**	Counties Where Available Dodge
	9,785 W	Daily Jefferson County Union	Hoard's Dairyman**	Jefferson
		_Watertown Daily Times	Johnson Newspaper Corp.	Jefferson
	28,000 N 32,000 S	Kenosha News	United Communications	Kenosha
ſ	الاقدم والمح	<u></u>	Corp.	
1	434,0565	Milwaukee Journal Sentinel	Journal Communications*	Milwaukee, Ozaukee, Washington,
•		_		and Waukesha
	30,000 N 32,000 S	Journal Times	Lee Enterprises Inc.	Racine
	24,430 W 26,388 S	Sheboygan Press	Gannett Co. Inc.	Sheboygan
	26,388 >	Daily News	Conley Publishing Group	Washington
	21,424 6	Waukesha Freeman	Conley Publishing Group	Waukesha

Source: Editor and Publisher Yearbook; MapInfo Corporation

Notes: * Owner information obtained from www.jc.com/companies/

** Owner information obtained by telephone

Source for Cisculation - Burselle's Media Directory, 2003

Table F2. Daily Newspapers Available to the Average Household in the Milwaukee

DMA

County	# of daily newspapers	Households per county	County household weight	Weighted number of daily newspapers
DODGE	1	31,417	0.04	0.04
JEFFERSON	2	28,205	0.03	0.07
KENOSHA	1	56,057	0.07	0.07
MILWAUKEE	1	377,729	0.44	0.44
OZAUKEE	1	30,857	0.04	0.04
RACINE	1	70,819	0.08	0.08
SHEBOYGAN	1	43,545	0.05	0.05
WALWORTH	0	34,522	0.04	0.00
WASHINGTON	2	43,842	0.05	0.10
WAUKESHA	2	135,229	0.16	0.32
Total		852,222	1.00	1.20

Daily Newspapers Available to Average Household in Milwaukee DMA 1.20

Sources: Editor and Publisher Yearbook; SRDS Circulation 2003; US Census Bureau.

Journal Communications: 2+ Sonthern: 8 Canky: 8 Hometown News: 3 55% of all weeklies

Table F3. Weekly Newspapers in the Milwaukee DMA

Ciar	Name Of Newspaper	Owner	City	Households
	50 Plus	Plus Publications	Hartland	in city 3,002
	Advantage	Conley Publishing Group Ltd	West Bend	11,375
6.34	O Brookfield News	Journal Communications.	New Berlin	13,891
1,59	Brown Deer Herald	FJournal Communications'	New Berlin	5,134
.,,,,	Bulletin	United Communications Corp	Kenosha	34.411
	Burlington Standard Press	Southern Lakes Newspapers LLC	Burlington	3,838
13,000	Catholic Herald	Milwaukee Catholic Press Apostolate	Milwaukee	232,188
,	Community Journal	Patricia Pattillo**	Milwaukee	232,188
	Courier	- Hometown News LP**	Waterloo	1,242
3,43	Cudahy/St. Francis Reminder-Enternrise	Journal Communications*	New Berlin	11,938
	ODelavan Enterprise	Bliss Communications Inc.	Delavan	2,931
,	Dodge County Independent-News	Times Publishing Inc.	Juneau	31,417
2.55	6 East Troy News	Southern Lakes Newspapers LLC	East Troy	1,350
	≽ Elkhorn Independent	'Southern Lakes Newspapers LLC	Elkhom	2,919
	6 Elm Grove Elm Leaves	* Journal Communications'	New Berlin	2,444
	Fox Point/Bayside/River Hills Herald	Journal Communications'	New Berlin	5,184
Ý,3	14Franklin Hub	> Journal Communications*	New Berlin	10,602
2,3	oGermantown Banner-Press	Y Journal Communications'	New Berlin	6.904
ارُا	HGlendale Herald		New Berlin	5,772
,-	Good Morning Advertiser	Hoard's Dairyman**	Whitewater	4,132
3-19	₩WGreendale Village Life	¥ Journal Communications'	New Berlin	6,011
	Greenfield Observer	¥Journal Communications*	New Berlin	15,691
•	Hartford Booster	Booster Inc.	Hartford	4,279
7,8	••• Hartford Times-Press	• Conley Publishing Group Ltd.	Hartford	4,219
•	Horicon Reporter	Wisconsin Free Press	Horicon	1,414
20,0	Wirish American Post	Independently Owned**	Milwaukee	232,188
,	Italian Times	Italian Community Center, Inc.	Milwaukee	232.188
의용	Kettle Moraine Index	[≯] Journal Communications'	Hartland	3,002

Circulation Source: Burrelle's, 2003

Media

Directory

Non Daily Newspapers ECONOMISTS INCORPORATED

Name Of Newspaper	Owner	City	Households
1 th			in city
3,400 Kewaskum Statesman	Independently Owned**	Kewaskum	1,212
시기 Lake Country Reporter	✓Journal Communications*	Hartland	3,002
7,000 Lake Geneva Regional News	Lake Geneva Printing & Publishing	Lake Geneva	3,053
3₁∞º Lake Mills Leader	- Hometown News LP	Lake Mills	1,924
5,900 Mayville News	C Wisconsin Free Press**	Mayville	1,988
1,468Menomonee Falls News		New Berlin	12,844
i, #68 Mequon/Thiensville Courant	[⊀] Journal Communications'	New Berlin	9,364
Metroparent	¥ Journal Sentinel	Wauwatosa	20,388
40,000 Milwaukee Courier	Jerrel Jones**	Milwaukee	232,188
/0,0€0 Milwaukee Star	− Hometown News LP**	Milwaukee	232,188
Monday-Mini	Madison Newspapers Inc.	Beaver Dam	6,349
Mukwonago Chief		Mukwonago	2,392
3574Muskego Sun	*Journal Communications.	New Berlin	7,533
4,307New Berlin Citizen	XJournal Communications*	New Berlin	14,495
News Graphic	Conley Publishing Group Ltd.	Cedarburg	4,432
North Woods Trader	Delphos Herald Inc.	Eagle	592
Oak Creek Pictorial	*Journal Communications*	New Berlin	11,239
Oconomowoc Buyers' Guide	*Journal Communications*	Hartland	4,968
So Oconomowoc Enterprise	• Conley Publishing Group Ltd.	Oconomowoc	4,968
Ozaukee Guide	- Conley Publishing Group Ltd.	Cedarburg	4,432
Sisso Ozaukee Press	Port Publications Inc.	Port Washington	4,07 I
/₁400Palmyra Enterprise	Southern Lakes Newspapers LLC	Palmyra	689
Pennysaver	Lee Enterprises Inc.	Racine	31,449
4,900 Review	Barry Johanson**	Plymouth	3,262
'900 Sharon Reporter	Not available	Sharon	565
1,300 Sheboygan Falls News	Barry Johanson**	Sheboygan	20,779
Shepherd Express Weekly News	Alternative Publications Inc.	Milwaukee	232,188
Shoreline Chronicle	Gannett Co. Inc. **	Sheboygan	20,779
19(1 Shorewood Herald	→ Journal Communications'	New Berlin	6,539
Sounder	Times Publishing Inc.**	Random Lake	613
36th South Milwaukee Voice Graphic		New Berlin	8.694
Spotlight	Jim Clifford**	Watertown	8,022
Sunday Booster	Booster Inc.	Hartford	4,279
Sunday Post	'Conley Publishing Group Ltd.	Cedarburg	4,432
Sunday Post	Conley Publishing Group Ltd.	West Bend	11,375
•			*

Name Of Newspaper	Owner	City	Households
# 444			in city
3,000 Sussex Sun	✓ Journal Communications*	Hartland	3,310
213,762This Week!	*Journal Communications*	Waukesha	25,663
Three Lakes News	Delphos Herald Inc.	Eagle	592
4.サン Times	Not available	Walworth	850
Tri-County	© Madison Newspapers Inc.	Beaver Dam	6,349
Union Extra	Hoard's Dairyman	Fort Atkinson	4,760
Vilas County News Review	Delphos Herald Inc.	Eagle	9,066
Walworth County Shopper-	Community Shoppers Inc.	Delavan	34,522
Advertiser/Sunday Shopper			,
1,250Waterford Post	'Southern Lakes Newspapers LLC	Waterford	1,561
Waukesha Area Sunday Post	Conley Publishing Group Ltd	Waukesha	25,663
4,296 Wauwatosa News-Time	✓ Journal Communications*	New Berlin	20,388
મું ૧ૂ West Allis Star	Y Journal Communications'	New Berlin	21,604
West Bend Booster	Booster Inc.	West Bend	11,375
1,250 Westine Report	Southern Lakes Newspapers LLC	Union Grove	1,631
Westosha Report	Southern Lakes Newspapers LLC	Twin Lakes	1,973
Whitefish Bay Herald	⊀ Journal Communications.	New Berlin	5,451
3 60 Whitewater Register	Southern Lakes Newspapers LLC	Whitewater	4,132
Wisconsin Hi-Liter	Hi-Liter Graphics Inc.	Burlington	3,838
Signal Wisconsin Jewish Chronicle	Milwaukee Jewish Federation	Milwaukee	232,188
Wisconsin Light	Not available	Milwaukee	232,188
.		Total Households	2,112,311
		Total DMA Households	852,222

Number of Weekly Newspapers Available to the Average Household in the Milwaukee DMA

3.2

Notes:

- Owner information obtained from www.jc.com/companies/

 ** Owner information obtained by telephone

Table F4. Broadcast Television Stations in the Milwaukee DMA

LCS (Market	CALL LTRS	CHANNEL	OWNER	Lacal
<i>)</i>	W63CU	63	Weigel Broadcasting Company	•
99.	WCGV-TV	24	Sinclair Broadcast Group Inc のアル	
/ ዛ % WDJT-TV		58	Weigel Broadcasting Company C35	1
229	WISN-TV	12	Hearst-Argyle TV Incorporated ABC	J
199	WITI	6	Fox Television Stations Inc Fox	J
	WJJA	49	TV-49 Inc	
	WMLW-LP	41	Weigel Broadcasting Company	
	WMVS	10	Milwaukee Area Technical College District Board	
	WMVT	36	Milwaukee Area Technical College District Board	
150	WPXE	55	Journal Communications* 75%	
26%	WTMJ-TV	4	Journal Communications* りまし	✓
	WVCY-TV	30	VCY America Inc	
950	WVTV	18	Sinclair Broadcast Group Inc \mathcal{UB}	
	WWRS-TV	52	National Minority TV	

Source: BIA Database
Notes: * Owner information obtained from www.jc.com/companies/
Owner information obtained by telephone

En Market Share Source, BIA Financial Network, 2002 Market Report

Appendix F: Market by Market Data

Radio Market	Date	Rank	Stations	Owners	Formats	CR1	CR2	CR4
Milwaukee - Racine	Mar-96	28	34	(22)	16	27.5	n/a	68.5
	Nov-97	29	35	17	16	30.4	n/a	81.1
	Nov-98	31	35	16	16	29.7	51.7	80.2
	Mar-00	31	35	16	17	23.4	46.2	76.1
	Mar-01	31	34	14	10	27.6	51.2	86.5
	Mar42	32	35	15	16	26.2	50.7	86.9

Source: FCC Study #11, Williams + Roberts

Table F5. Radio Stations in the Milwaukee DMA

CALL LTRS	Owner
WAUK	WALT-WEST Wisconsin Inc
WAZI	L&L Pewaukee Ventures Inc
WBEV	Good Karma Broadcasting
WBFM	Midwest Communications Incorporated
WBJX	WBJX Inc
WBKV	Bliss Communications Inc
WBSD	Burlington Area School District
WBWI	Bliss Communications Inc
WCCX	Carroll College
WCLB	RBH Enterprises Incorporated
WEMP	Entercom
WEXT	NextMedia Group
WEZY	Bliss Communications Inc
WFAW	Marathon Media Group LLC
WFDL	BBK Broadcasting Inc
WFMR	Saga Communications Incorporated
WFZH	Salem Communications Corporation
WGLB	Kinlow, Joel J.
WGLB	Starboard Broadcasting Inc:
WGTD	Wisconsin Public Radio
WHAD	Wisconsin Public Radio
WHBL	Midwest Communications Incorporated
WHBZ	Midwest Communications Incorporated
WIIL	NextMedia Group
WISN	Clear Channel Communications
WJJO	Mid-West Family Broadcast Group
WJMR	Saga Communications Incorporated
WJUB	Jubiliation Ministries
WJYI	Saga Communications Incorporated
WJZI	Milwaukee Radio Alliance LLC
WKCH	Marathon Media Group LLC
WKKV	Clear Channel Communications
WKLH	Saga Communications Incorporated
WKSH	ABC Radio Incorporated
WKT1	Journal Communications.
WLIP	NextMedia Group
WLKG	Kwiatkowski, Tom
WLTQ	Clear Channel Communications
WLUM	Milwaukee Radio Alliance LLC
WLZR	Saga Communications Incorporated
WMCS	Milwaukee Radio Alliance LLC
WMDC	BBK Broadcasting Inc
WMlL	Clear Channel Communications
WMSE	Milwaukee School of Engineering

CALL LTRS	Owner
WMWK	Family Stations Inc
WMYX	Entercom
WNOV	Courier Communications
WOKY	Clear Channel Communications
WRJT	Clear Channel Communications
WRJN	Bliss Communications Inc
WRRD	Salem Communications Corporation
WSHS	Wisconsin Public Radio
WSJY	Marathon Media Group LLC
WSLD	WPW Broadcasting Incorporated
wsuw	university of Wisconsin System
WTKM	Kettle Moraine Broadcasting Company Inc
WTKM	Kettle Moraine Broadcasting Company Inc
WTMJ	Journal Communications
WTTN	Good Karma Broadcasting
WUWM	University of Wisconsin System
WVCY	VCY America Incorporated
WXER	RBH Enterprises Incorporated
WXRO	Good Karma Broadcasting
WXSS	Entercom
WYMS	
WZRK	Starboard Broadcasting Inc

Source: BIA Database
Notes: * Owner information obtained from www.jc.com/companies/

Table F7. Regional Magazines Available in the Milwaukee DMA

Regional Magazines Publishing Company/Owner

Alive Magazine Milwaukee Zoological Society

Milwaukee Symphony Orchestra Encore Encore Ltd.

Lore Milwaukee Public Museum

Alverno Magazine Alverno College

Mi. Mary Magazine Mount Mary College

Quarterly Carroll College

Small Business Times Small Business Times

Shepherd Express Alternative Publications

Ou-Tre Mike Paul

Greater Milwaukee Dining Greater Milwaukee Convention & and

Visitors Guide Visitors Bureau

Milwaukee Magazine, Inc,

Wisconsin Times Wisconsin School for the Deaf

US News and World Report

US News and World Report

Time Magazine AOL Time Warner

Newsweek The Washington **Post** Company

Source: National Directory of Magazines, 2000

44 web sites: radio + TV

9 web sites: newspapes

53 of 100 web sites: radio, TV, newspapes

--- Table F8. Local Websites Available in the Milwaukee DMA-

Name	Owner	URL
Milwaukee's LGBT Center		http://www.mkelgbt.org/
American Red Cross		http://www.redcrossinsewis.orgl
Milwaukee Aquarium Society		http://fishclubs.com/WI/MAS/ho
M(1 1 A-60 1 G - 1 d		me2.htm
Milwaukee Astronomical Society		http://www.milwaukeeastro.org/
Cavalry Chapter of Milwaukee		http://www.ccmil.com/
Congregation Shalom		http://www.cong-shalom.org/
United Church of God		httpY/www.ucgmil.org/
Alvemo College		http://www.alvemo.edu/
Marquette University		http://www.marquene.edu/
Milwaukee Area Technical College		http://www.matc.edu/
Milwaukee Institute of Art and Design		http://www.miad.edu/
Milwaukee School of Engineering		hnp://www.msoe.edu/
UW-Milwaukee		hnp://www.uwm.edu/
YWCA of Milwaukee		http://www.ywcaogm.org/orgs4r j.htm
Hunger Task Force of Milwaukee		hnp://www.hungertaskforce.org/
PTA council of Milwaukee		http://www.myschoolonTine.wm/site/0,1876,53529-147930-56-8307,00.html
Atwater PTA		http://www.shorewoodschools.or g/sch_Atwater/atw_PTA/atw_P TA.htm
Milwaukee's Teacher and Educators' Association		http://www.mtea.org/
Associated General Contracters of Greater Milwauke	ee	http://www.agc-gm.org/
Guide to Milwaukee		hnp://www.cityonthelake.com
Guide 10 Milwaukee		http://www.officialmilwaukec.co m/main.cfm
Milwaukee Hurling Club		http://www.hurling.net/
Milwaukee Bar Association		http://www.milwbar.orgl
Milwaukee Fire Department		http://w.rnilfire.com/
Milwaukee Yacht Club		hnp://www.milwaukeeyc.com/
Milwaukee County Historical Society		http://www.milwaukeecountyhis
Milwaukee Naturally		http://www.milwaukee- naturally.com/
		•

	Name	Owner	URL
	· Milwaukee Jewish Federation		http://www.milwaukeejewish.or g/
	Milwaukee One		http://www.mkel .com/
	Milwaukee Rocks		http://www.miIwaukeerocks.co
	Will wadkee Rocks		m/
	MKE Blue		http://www.december.com/place s/mke/blue.html
	All About Milwaukee		http://www.allabouhnilwaukee.com/
	Zoological Society of Milwauk	ree	http://www.wosociety.org/
	Metro Milwaukee Association		http://www.mmac.org/
$\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}$	Historic Milwaukee		http://www.historicmilwaukee.or
			g /
	Milwaukee Akido Club		http://gbit.com/milwac/
	Greater Milwaukee Today		http://www.gmtoday.com/index.
	~		<pre>asp http://www.greatermilwaukeefou</pre>
	Greater Milwaukee Foundation		ndation.org/
	; Milwaukee Youth Symphony (Orchestra	http://www.myso.org/
	Guide to Milwaukee		http://milwaukee.areaguides.net/
	United Way		http://www.unitedwaymilwauke
			e.org www.ebay.com
	eBay		www eday.com www digitalcity.com
	digitalcity.com		www.digital-neighbor.com
	digital-neighbor.com		wisconline.com
	All About Wisconsin, Inc. onmilwaukee.com		www.onmilwaukee.com
	onwisconsin.com	Journal Communications.	www.onwisconsin.com
	WCGV-TV	Sinclair Broadcast Group Inc	http://www.wcgv24.coml
NO.	WDJT-TV	Weigel Broadcasting Company	http://www.cbs58.coml
distant in the second	WISN-TV	Hearst-Argyle TV Incorporated	http://www.themilwaukeechanne
r			l.com/
	WITI	Fox Television Stations Inc	http://www.fox6miIwaukee.com/
3 8	WMLW-LP	Weigel Broadcasting Company	http://www.wmlw.com/
	WMVS	Milwaukee Area Technical College	http://mptv.org/
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	District Board	
	WMVT	Milwaukee Area Technical College	hnp://mptv.org/
		District Board	http://www.touchhpi4.cod
	WTMJ-TV	Journal Communications'	http:llwww.touchbnj4.cod
	WVCY-TV	VCY America Inc	http://www.vcyamerica.org/
	WVTV	Sinclair Broadcast GroupInc	http://www.wvtv18.com/
	WAZI	L&L Pewaukee Ventures Inc	www.lifemessage.org/
	WBJX	WBJX lnc	www.lacampeona.com
	WCCX	Carroll College	http://cscbeta.cc.edu/wccx/
	'WEXT	NextMedia Group	www.extremecounby.com
	WFMR	Saga Communications Incorporated	www.wfmr.com
	WGLB	Kinlow, Joel J.	http://my.execpc.com/~wglb/
	WGLB	Starboard Broadcasting Inc	http://my.execpc.com/~wglb/fm/ fm.html

Name	Owner	URL
WGTD	Wisconsin Public Radio	www.gateway.tec.wi.us/Cam- puses/WGTD_FM91/wgtd_fm9 I.html
WHAD	Wisconsin Public Radio	www.wpr.org/
WIIL	NextMedia Group	www.95wiil.com
WISN	Clear Channel Communications	www.broadcast.com/radio/talk/ wisn
WJJO	Mid-West Family Broadcast Group	www.wjjo.com
WЛВ	Jubiliation Ministries	www.wjub.org/
WKKV	Clear Channel Communications	www.v100.com/main.html
WKLH	Saga Communications Incorporated	www.wklh.com/
WKTI	Journal Communications.	www.wkti.com
WLIP	NextMedia Group	www.wlip.com
WLKG	Kwiatkowski, Tom	www.wlkg.com
WLTQ	Clear Channel Communications	www.light97.net/main.html
WLUM	Milwaukee Radio Alliance LLC	www.newrock.com/home.asp
WLZR	Saga Communications Incorporated	www.wlzr.com
WMCS	Milwaukee Radio Alliance LLC	www.I29Owmcs.com
WMlL	Clear Channel Communications	www.fm106.com/main.html
WMSE	Milwaukee School of Engineering	www.wmse.org/
WMWK	Family Stations Inc	www.familyradio.com/
WOKY	Clear Channel Communications	www am920woky.com/jacor- common/pax.htm
WSHS	Wisconsin Public Radio	www.sheboygan.k 12 wi.us/north /Media/wshs/wshs.htm
wsuw	University of Wisconsin System	www.wsuw.org/
WTKM	Kenle Moraine Broadcasting Company	http://webcenterarner.codwtkd index.html
WTKM	Kenle Moraine Broadcasting Company	http://webcenteramer.codwtkd index.html
WTMJ	Journal Communications'	www.620wbnj.com/
WUWM	University of Wisconsin System	www.uwm.edu/WUWM//
WXER	RBH Enterprises Incorporated	www.wxer.com/pthome.html
WYMS	Milwaukee Board of School Directors	WWW.WymS.Org/
Daily Citizen	Madison Newspapers Inc. **	www.citizenol.com
Daily Jefferson County Union	Hoard's Dairyman**	www.dailyunion.com
Watertown Daily Times	Johnson Newspaper Corp.	www.wdtimes.com
Kenosha News	United Communications Corp.	www.kenoshacounty.com
' Milwaukee Journal Sentinel	Journal Communications'	w.jsonline.com
Journal Times	Lee Enterprises Inc.	www.journaltimes.com
Sheboygan Press	Gannett Co. Inc.	www.wisinfo.com/sheboyganpre ss/index.shtml
Daily News	Conley Publishing Group	www.rhinelanderdailynews.com
Waukesha Freeman	Conley Publishing Group	www.gmtoday.com
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Notes: * Owner information obtained from www.jc.com/companies/

^{**} Owner information obtained by telephone



Joint Operating Agreements

JOAs in the newspaper industry have been around for nearly 70 years. The newspapers in Tucson, Arizona were among the first to join commercial functions for efficiency while keeping the editorial operations separate. During the decade of the decade of the 60s, Honolulu Hawaii and San Francisco, California started joint operating agreements.

The Honolulu Advertiser and Star-Bulletin were competing **as** separate newspaper companies. Each had their own printing plants, advertising, business offices, and circulation departments. The Advertiser had a new printing facility, state of the art for the time. The Advertiser, though, was a failing newspaper, about to go out of business. It was doubtful from week to week if it could even make payroll. On the other hand, the Star-Bulletin was thriving. It had the largest circulation. It was making lots of money But it was in desperate need of a new printing plant. The two newspapers got together

The Star-Bulletin moved into the Advertiser building. That solved the Star-Bulletin's problem of a new printing plant. By sharing its printing plant and combining all commercial and production functions, the Advertiser returned to viability.

An economic monopoly was created. Advertising rates soared. Profit margins went off the chart. In the good years, the combined operation turned **50%** return on revenue. In the bad years, the return was in the neighborhood of 30%.

Later in the decade, the San Francisco Examiner and Chronicle combined operations with similar results,

At about the same time the U.S. Supreme Court ruled JOAs illegal. What to do? If JOAs were illegal, Honolulu would become a onenewspaper town and Hawaii would be a one-newspaper state. It was likely that San Francisco would lose a newspaper. Many other

newspapers would fail and close. Each time, a separate editorial voice would be lost.

Congress, in its wisdom, passed the Newspaper Preservation Act. While many believed at the time that its real purpose was to make legal JOAs already in existence. The stated reason was to make it possible to keep as many editorial voices as possible.

More JOAs came into existence. Most notable were those in Seattle and Detroit. The US Justice Department approved both, although there was much evidence that the newspapers involved were not in danger of failing.

Some JOAs did not work. Anchorage, Alaska is an example.

While JOAs were structured differently, the common denominator was the completely separate and independent editorial operations.

1. How does it work?

- a. Joint functions
 - 1) The joint operation sets rates, decides on distribution for each newspaper and shares combined profits on a prearranged basis.
 - 2) One advertising department sells advertising space for both newspapers. The combined operation sets advertising rates for both newspapers. A combined rate is designed to force or at least strongly encourage advertisers to advertise in both newspapers.
 - 3) One business office does all accounting, payroll and HR functions. There is one purchasing department, and one maintenance department.
 - 4) One circulation department distributes both newspapers. The circulation department decides if both newspapers will be distributed in all areas. For example, the Hawaii Newspaper Agency, the company created by the Advertiser and the Star-Bulletin, decided that the

- Advertiser would be widely distributed on the neighbor islands, while the Star-Bulletin would be largely confined to Oahu.
- 5) The most dramatic savings resulted **from** the two newspapers sharing the same printing facility. Separate printing facilities mean they sit idle for most of a 24-hour period. A printing plant is the most expensive investment for any newspaper. The Honolulu Advertiser is building a news printing facility now at an announced cost of more than **\$80** million.
- b. Separate and distinct editorial operations
 - 1) Editorial staffs must have separate and independent ownership and managements. Each newspaper hires its own editorial staffs.
 - 2) Each newspaper decides what events it will cover and how it will cover the event. It will decide the important elements of a story and what the story means. For example, the Advertiser and Star-Bulletin both carried a story on March 4th reporting that Aloha and Hawaiian Airlines increased their prices. The essential elements of the story in each newspaper were nearly the same, but leads and the headlines were quite different. The Advertiser story appeared on page one and was headed "Hawaiian, Aloha increase prices." The Star-Bulletin story appeared on the business section front. Its headline: "Hawaiian tries fare fix."

Bishop Estate: While the Honolulu JOA was still in operation in **1997**, the Star-Bulletin carried and the Advertiser did not **carry** a **major story** dubbed **"Broken** Trust," which was an essay written by five respected Honolulu leaders, including **a** senior federal judge, former Kamahamaha girls' school principle, religious leader, political leader and University of Hawaii law professor.

The story chronicled the abuses and failings of the trustees of one of the largest and richest elementary and secondary educational trusts in the United States.

Valued between \$6 and \$10 billion, the trust is the estate set up in the latter part of the 19th century by the will of a member of the Hawaiian Royal Family. The purpose of the estate was to provide for the education of Hawaiian children. Kamehameha Schools is funded by the estate.

The story prompted the Hawaii attorney general to launch an investigation, which led to all five o the trustees being replaced and the estate reorganized. It is generally considered the major story of the **1990s** in Hawaii.

The essay authors hoped the Advertiser would run the story in a Sunday edition for the most exposure. The Advertiser, for whatever reason. did not think the story was newsworthy enough but never said no. It just tried to ignore it. The authors took the story across the hall to the Star-Bulletin. SB editors considered for only a few minutes, decided the news value was important enough and ran the story.

It soon became apparent that the Bishop Estate story was important and would be for a considerable period of time. The Advertiser began covering the story **as** it unfolded, but most people in Honolulu believe the estate would be operating without change if the Star-Bulletin did not break the story,

Advertiser Editor Jim Gatti, on his retirement in 1999 wrote regarding his decision on the Bishop Estate Story: "I based the decision on what we thought was the right

thing to dojournalistically, insisting on fairness and accuracy. ..."

2. Examples of other stones showing competition. We should note that the editorial operation under a JOA should be the same as the editorial operation of completely competitive newspapers. While the commercial functions are combined, the editorial teams continue to compete. They provide separate and distinct editorial voices. Editorial policy is often different. There is a different mix of syndicated columnists. Management editorials and recommendations are often different. The two newspapers, JOA or not, make different news judgments as their separate eyes and ears determine.

3. Star-Bulletin:

- a. Starting in 1998, the newspaper broke and covered almost exclusively a story about high gas prices in Hawaii. The state attorney general sued the major oil companies for price fixing and settled out of court. The stories showed that Chevron earned high overall percentage of its profits from Hawaii even though Hawaii represented a small percentage of its business.
- b. The SB broke and continues to pursue a story about campaign contributions to Honolulu Mayor Jeremy Harris. At the time of the story Harris was the front runner for governor. Harris later dropped out of the governor's race and is now under criminal investigation. Until recently, the Advertiser has not prominently covered the story.

4. Advertiser

- a. November 2002 regarding problems, including fraud, in Hawaii's public housing authority.
- b. March 2002 regarding losses to the Hawaii state employees pension system.
- c. February 2003 regarding abuse of the elderly, "state's hidden epidemic."

5. If **JOAs** involving broadcast media require separate editorial operations, where is the savings? They don't have printing plants.

Broadcast media can enjoy considerable efficiencies and savings from combining business functions and advertising sales. They can also share facilities and equipment.

By selling advertising jointly, they can have one advertising **staff** sell time for all of the stations. They can set rates and have combination buys. They can offer service to clients by coordinating media campaigns that will include print, radio and TV at one time.

They can have one business operation serve all stations together. They can have common HR operations which can lead to savings in employee benefits. A larger operation may be able to provide pension benefits that a single small operation could not afford. Likewise. medical benefits are more affordable to large operations because the large operation has more bargaining power with providers than does a small operation, a benefit to both employees and the company.

The independence, though, of the separate editorial operations must be maintained. While it might be more efficient to combine editorial operations, the result would be a loss of independent editorial judgment. It would violate the wisdom of the Congress in the Newspaper Preservation Act. The Congress decided independent editorial voices in communities are desirable and should be encouraged. The principle is equally valid for broadcast media. Democracy depends on an informed citizenry.

Broadcast Ownership Rules

MB Docket No. 02-277 MM Dockets No. 01-235, 01-317, 00-244

Communications Workers of America

Ex Parte Presentation



Overview

- Television and Newspapers are the Dominant Source for Local News and Information
- Television and Newspapers are Separate Local Media Markets
- Local Television and Newspaper Markets are Highly Concentrated
- Ownership Rules are Necessary to Protect and Promote Viewpoint Diversity
- CWA Proposal for Structural Ownership Rules



Television and Newspapers are the Dominant Source for Local News and Information

- Newspapers
 - 54% read daily paper, 64% Sunday paper (NAA)
 - 62% read newspaper (Waldfogel, Nielsen)
- Television
 - 60% watch eve news, 64% nightly news (Waldfogel); 85% use TV for news (Nielsen)
- Radio
 - 35% use radio for news & info (Nielsen)
- Cable
 - Only 19 markets with local cable news show (Fox et al)
- Internet
 - 18.8% use Internet for news (Nielsen)
 - Only 54% of Americans have Internet access at home (U.S. Dept of Commerce)
 - Most Internet news sites are newspaper or TV sites



Television and Newspapers are Separate Local Media Markets

- Consumers Show Weak Substitution across Media Types
 - FCC Waldfogel study (study #3)
- Advertisers View Local Newspaper, Radio, and Television as Separate Markets
 - FCC Bush study (study #10)



Relaxation of Media Ownership Limits Has Resulted in More Concentration in Local Media Markets

Growth Rate in Outlets and Owners in Ten Selected Media Markets					
Ī	Media Outlets				
1	1960-1980	1980-2000	1960-1980	1980-2000	
Altoona	73%	21%	33%	25%	
Birrninaharn	57%	34%	70%	12%	
Burlington	147%	43%	115%	21%	
Charlottesville	62%	77%	100%	40%	
Kansas City	100%	20%	106%	0%	
Lancaster	50%	19%	60%	25%	
Little Rock	106%	71%	114%	10%	
Myrtle Beach	267%	43%	115%	44%	
New York	73%	20%	93%	-2%	
Terre Haute	117%	27%	138%	16%	

Source: Dean Baker, *Democracy Unhinged*, Analysis of FCC Study #1, S Roberts, J Frenette, D Steams, "A Comparison of Media Outlets and Owners for Ten Selected Markets (1960, 1980, 2000)"

Example: Local Radio Markets 1996 - 2002

1996 Telecom Act eliminated national radio ownership limits and reduced local radio limits

- Clear Channel and Infinity now own 1,340 (up from 109 stations in 1996).
- Total number of distinct radio station owners declined 33% since 1996
- In local radio market, top four owners control, on average, 93% of market (as measured by ad revenues), up from 83% in 1996
- Radio ad rates have increased more than 68% (adjusted for inflation)

Sources: FCC study #11, G Williams and S Roberts, "Radio Industry Review 2002"



the Daily Newspaper and the Major Networks' News Shows Most Local Markets Have Only 4-5 Major News Outlets —

Newspapers

- Most cities I ave ome major daily newspaper
- Newspaper HHIs in virtually all markets are above 1800 (highly concentrated)

Television

- 0 70% of DMAs have 4 or fewer original local news shows; (Source: Fox et al) 89% of DMAs have 5 or fewer original local news shows
- 0 Only 19 DMAs have local cable mews [Source: Fox et al)



Market Concentration in Local Television Markets, 2001

Market Concentration in Local Television Markets 2001			
DMA	Market Share of Top Four Stations	HHI	
New York City, NY (001)	71%	1959	
Los Angeles, CA (002)	57%	1796	
Chicago, IL (003)	68%	1852	
Philadelphia, PA (004)	83%	1852	
San Francisco, CA (005)	80%	2370	
Boston, MA (006)	78%	2276	
Dallas, TX (007)	63%	1881	
Washington, DC (008)	85%	2254	
Kansas City, MO (031)	80%	1914	
Birmingham, AL (039)	77%	1895	
Harrisburg, PA (046)	95%	2555	
Little Rock, AR (056)	93%	2605	
Burlington, VT (090)	95%	3500	
Altoona, PA (096)	100%	3166	
Myrtle Beach, SC (109)	100%	4146	
Terre Haute, IN (145)	100%	4178	

Source: BIA, 2001. Market share – average 2000 LCS. HHI calculation based on combined market share of each <u>independently</u> owned <u>commercial stations</u> with >1% market share. Market share combined for commonly-owned stations.

Market Concentration in Local Daily Newspaper Markets Daily Newspapers in Principal Metro City & One County

Market Concentrat n in Local Daily Newspaper Markets Daily Newspapers in Principal Metro City and One Surrounding County				
Principal City and Ore Surrounding County (DMA)	Daily Newspapers	Market Share	HHI	
New York City/Westchester Co. NY (001)	Wall Street burnal			
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	New York Times	24%		
	NY Daily News	15%		
	NY Post	11%		
	Bloomberg News	6%		
	Journal News (Westchester)	3%		
	Others	5%		
Los Angeles and Orang? Co. (A. (Om)	Los Angeles Times	50%	2909	
, _ , ,	OrangeCounty Register	18%		
	La Opinion	7%		
	LA Press-Telegram	5%		
	LA Daily Breeze	4%		
	Korean Central Daily	3%		
	Others	13%		
Chicago and Lake Co IL (003)	Chicago Tribure	50%	3856	
	Chicago Sun-Times	35%		
	Lake Daily Herald (Lake Co.)	11%		
	Chicago Defender	2%		
	The News Sun (Lake Co.)	2%		
⊃hiladelphia and Montgomery Co., PA (OW)	Philadelphia Inquirer*	59%	4458	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Philadelphia Daily News*	31%		
	(*commonly owned with joint advertising)			
	The Mercury (Mort. Co.)	4%		
	Times Herald (Mont. Co.)	3%		
	The Reporter	3%		
San Francisco and Santa Clara Co, CA (005)	San Francisco Chronicle	59%	4473	
	San Jose Mercury News	31%		
	Palo Alto Daily News (Co.)	3%		
	San Francisco Examiner	6%		
	Others	1%		



Market Concentration in Local Daily Newspaper Markets Daily Newspapers in Principal Metro City & One County

Principal City and Ore Surrounding County (DMA)	Daily Newspapers	Market Share	HHI
Boston and Middlesex Co, MA (006)	Boston Globe	47%	3239
	Boston Herald	26%	Ì
	Boston Metro	18%	1
	Th? Sun (Lowell MA)	5%	1
	Others	4%	
Dallas/Fort Worth and Denton Co TX (007)	Dallas Morning News	64%	4948
	Fort Worth Star-Telegram	29%	
	Others		_
Washington, D.C. and Montgomery Co. MD (008)	Washingtrn Post	86%	7510
,	Washington Times	11%	
	Montgomery burnal	3%	
Kansas City and Linn Co. MO (031)	The Kansas City Star	95%	9053
	Linn News-Weti	5%	<u> </u>
Birmingham, AL (039)	Th? Birmingham News	88%	7906
	Birmingham Post-Herald	12%	
	(Joint Operating Agreement)		<u> </u>
Lancaster, PA (046)	Lancaster Intelligencer Journal	100%	10,000
Little Rock and Pulaski Co. AR (056)	Arkansas Demurat-Gazette	96%	9187
, , ,	Pulaski Daily Record	_3%	<u>l</u>]
Burlington, VT (090)	Burlington Free Press	100%	10,000
Altoona, PA (096)	Altoona Mirror	100%	10,000
Myrtle Beach, SC (109)	Sun News	100%	10,000
Terre Haute, IN (145)	Tribune-Star	100%	10,000
Charlottesville, VA (192)	Daily Progress	77%	6,431.
	Cavalier Daily	23%	
Source: Burrelle's Media Directory, 2003. Local daily	v newspapers in principal metro ci		e

Source: <u>Burrelle's Media Directory, 2003. Local daily newspapers in principal metro di rice DMA and ore surrounding county. Trade publications not included.</u>



Ownership Rules are Necessary to Protect and Promote Viewpoint Diversity

- Ownership Influences Viewpoint
 - Academic literature
 - Examples from newspaper/broadcast combinations
 - Influence of advertisers on journalism and even on acceptance of issue ads
 - CanWest Global chain editorial policy
- "Synergy" is not viewpoint diversity



CWA Proposal for Local Broadcast Ownershit, Rules

- In all but a handful of local media markets, there are only 4-6 independently owned major media outlets
- Allowing further combination in concentrated markets would further reduce diversity and competition
- FCC must either maintain current rules (duopoly, newspaper/broadcast crossownership, dual network, radio rules), or
- Framework for unified local media ownership rule
 - Newspaper, radio, TV are distinct product markets
 - Careful geographic definition of each relevant product market
 - Market share analysis of each product market
 - No combinations (vertical or horizontal) where the product market is highly or moderately concentrated (e.g. duopolies, newspaper/broadcast combinations)
 - Combinations allowed in unconcentrated markets based on public interest review



CWA Proposal to Ensure Viewpoint Diversity

- Where local media combinations are permitted, FCC rule must require commonly owned media outlets to maintain separate newsrooms and editorial staff
- Modeled after 1970 Newspaper Preservation Act
 - Anti-trust exemption to preserve newspaper competition
 - Requires "there shall be no merger, combination, or amalgamation of editorial or reportorial staffs, and that editorial policies be independently determined"
 - Under NPA, about 12 newspapers jointly operate business/advertising. Allows them to realize economic efficiencies, while preserving autonomous and competitive news operations

